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# A three-dimensional open-framework germanate containing four-, five- and six-coordinated germanium 
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A new three-dimensional open-framework germanate, namely ethylenediamine bis(ethylenediammonium) tetrahydroxooctadecaoxononagermanate, $\quad\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)_{2}\left[\mathrm{Ge}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{18^{-}}\right.$ $(\mathrm{OH})_{4}$ ], has been synthesized hydrothermally and its structure determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction. The framework is built of $\left[\mathrm{Ge}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{22}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}\right]$ units formed by four-, five- and six-oxygen-coordinated germanium and templated by ethylenediamine. Three types of intersecting channels are formed in the framework, one by eight-membered rings running along the $b$ axis and the other two by ten-membered rings running parallel to the $a$ and $c$ axes, respectively.

## Comment

Microporous framework compounds, such as aluminium silicates, are of broad interest due to their applications as absorbents, ion-exchangers and catalysts. Great efforts have been made to synthesize new open-framework aluminium silicates and other zeolite-like compounds using organic molecules as structure-directing templates. Recently, several new open-framework germanates have been reported (Jones et al., 1992; Tripathi et al., 1999; Li et al., 1999; Conradsson et al., 2000). In contrast to silicon, which is usually tetrahedrally coordinated to O atoms, germanium can form not only $\mathrm{GeO}_{4}$ tetrahedra, but also $\mathrm{GeO}_{6}$ octahedra, and $\mathrm{GeO}_{5}$ square pyramids and trigonal bipyramids. We report here the synthesis and crystal-structure determination of a novel ethylenediamine (en) templated mixed-framework germanate, en $\left(\mathrm{enH}_{2}\right)_{2}\left[\mathrm{Ge}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{18}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}\right]$, with an open three-dimensional structure built of $\left[\mathrm{Ge}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{22}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}\right]$ units.

The asymmetric unit contains five unique Ge atoms, forming one regular $\mathrm{GeO}_{6}$ octahedron around Ge 1 , two highly distorted $\mathrm{GeO}_{4}(\mathrm{OH})$ trigonal bipyramids ( Ge 2 and Ge 3 ), and two regular $\mathrm{GeO}_{4}$ tetrahedra ( Ge 4 and Ge 5 ) (Fig. 1). One pair of $\mathrm{GeO}_{4}$ tetrahedra and one pair of distorted $\mathrm{GeO}_{4}(\mathrm{OH})$ trigonal bipyramids are connected by their vertices to form a $\left[\mathrm{Ge}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{11}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\right]$ unit, of which the Ge atoms form a square. Two such units are linked by the octahedrally coordinated Ge1 atom in such a way that they are related by an inversion center


Figure 1
An ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976) (50\% probability ellipsoids) drawing of the secondary parallelepiped $\left[\mathrm{Ge}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{22}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}\right]$ unit of the title compound as seen nearly along the $b$ axis with the atom-labeling scheme (the symmetry code is as in Table 1).
at the Ge 1 position, forming a $\left[\mathrm{Ge}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{22}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}\right]$ unit of which the nine Ge atoms form a body-centered parallelepiped. Finally, the $\left[\mathrm{Ge}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{22}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}\right]$ parallelepiped units are cornershared with each other in a body-centered manner such that an open three-dimensional framework results. Three types of intersecting channels are formed in the framework, one by ten-membered rings running along the $a$ axis (Fig. 2), one by eight-membered rings along the $b$ axis and the last by tenmembered rings running parallel to the $c$ axis. The free diameters of the channels in the title compound are $3.6 \times$ $3.8 \AA$ along the $a$ axis, $4.2 \times 4.3 \AA$ along the $b$ axis and $3.6 \times$


Figure 2
Polyhedral representation of the title structure viewed along the $a$ axis. The $\mathrm{GeO}_{6}$ octahedra are shown in black and the others in light grey. The two diprotonated ethylenediamine templates are in the middle of the tenmembered ring channels and the unprotonated one is shown on top of an octahedron.
$5.8 \AA$ along the $c$ axis, measured by the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ distances across the channels in each projection with a van der Waals radius of $1.4 \AA$ subtracted.

As expected, the $\mathrm{Ge}-\mathrm{O}$ distances are markedly longer [1.880 (3)-1.914 (3) $\AA$ ] in the $\mathrm{GeO}_{6}$ octahedron than in the $\mathrm{GeO}_{4}$ tetrahedra $[1.726$ (3) -1.770 (3) A ] (Table 1). Two groups of $\mathrm{Ge}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds are found in each of the $\mathrm{GeO}_{5}$ trigonal bipyramids, i.e. three short equatorial bonds [1.770 (3)-1.790 (3) A and two long axial bonds [1.810 (3) and 2.091 (3) A]. Eight of the eleven unique O atoms are connected to two Ge atoms and one $(\mathrm{O} 2)$ is connected to three Ge atoms. Finally, two O atoms (O6 and O9) are bound to one Ge atom and one H atom each, forming terminal hydroxyl groups. The presence of hydroxyl groups was confirmed independently by IR spectroscopy.

All three unique ethylenediamines are located across inversion centers. One of them is uncharged and the other two are diprotonated, acting as $\left(\mathrm{enH}_{2}\right)^{2+}$ counter-ions to the main framework. As expected, the diprotonated ethylenediamines ( N 1 and N 2 ) are more strongly hydrogen bonded to the framework O atoms (Table 2). In the projection along each crystallographic axis, two different ethylenediamine molecules are located at the centers of the channels (Fig. 2).

The secondary building unit of the title compound, $\left[\mathrm{Ge}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{22}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}\right]$, is similar to those found in the tetramethyl ammonium-templated $\left[\mathrm{Ge}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{14}(\mathrm{OH})_{12}\right]$ (Tripathi et al., 1999), en-templated $\left[\mathrm{Ge}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{19}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\right]$ (Jones et al., 1992) and piper-azine-templated $\left[\mathrm{Ge}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{18}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}\right]$ ( Li et al., 1999) structures. All of the Ge9 parallelepiped units are built by two pairs of tetrahedra, two pairs of trigonal bipyramids and one octahedron. Despite similarities in the gross composition, the secondary building units and framework charges among these germanates, there are several substantial geometrical and chemical differences. Only the tetramethylammoniumtemplated germanate is formed by isolated [ $\mathrm{Ge}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{14}(\mathrm{OH})_{12}$ ] units (Tripathi et al., 1999). The other three have cornershared $\left[\mathrm{Ge}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{n}\right]$ units and contain one eight-ring and two ten-ring channels. Although the same template, ethylenediamine, was used in the syntheses of the title compound and an en-templated $\left[\mathrm{Ge}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{19}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\right.$ ] (Jones et al., 1992), the geometrical arrangements of the tetrahedral pairs and the trigonal bipyramidal pairs within the parallelepiped Ge9 units are quite different. In the title compound, the pairs sit in a trans position, related by an inversion center at a Ge 1 octahedron, while in en-templated $\left[\mathrm{Ge}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{19}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\right]$, they sit in a cis position. On the other hand, the structure of the title compound is rather similar to that of the piperazine-templated $\left[\mathrm{Ge}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{18}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}\right]$ (Li et al., 1999), even though the structuredirecting templates are different in these two compounds.

Thermogravimetric analysis showed a weight loss of $2.5 \%$ up to 548 K and $15 \%$ between 548 and 723 K . The latter weight loss is comparable with the loss of all the en molecules ( $15.6 \% \mathrm{wt}$ ). IR measurements of the compound heated to 723 K did not, in contrast to the fresh sample, show peaks attributable to amines. X-ray powder diffraction shows that the sample lost its crystallinity when heated to 723 K and $\mathrm{GeO}_{2}$ was formed. The final experimental weight loss up
to 923 K was $21 \%$, close to the calculated weight loss of 21.1\%.

## Experimental

The title compound was synthesized under hydrothermal conditions from a mixture of ethylenediamine (en), germanium dioxide, boric acid, pyridine, hydrofluoric acid and water in the molar ratio 12:1:0.5:40:2:0.5. $\mathrm{GeO}_{2}(0.601 \mathrm{~g})$ was first added to a mixture of distilled water ( 0.532 g ) and pyridine ( 18.172 g ). Ethylenediamine $(4.139 \mathrm{~g})$, then $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BO}_{3}(0.180 \mathrm{~g})$ and finally $\mathrm{HF}(0.233 \mathrm{~g})$ were added slowly. The mixture was stirred continuously. The final pH was 10.6. The solution was sealed in a Teflon-lined autoclave (Parr) and heated at 443 K for 10 d under autogeneous pressure. The final product consisted of two distinct crystal morphologies, i.e. big prismatic crystals and spherical particles formed by small needle-like crystals. The large prismatic crystals (the title compound) were suitable for single-crystal X-ray diffraction. X-ray powder diffraction showed that the other compound, the small needle-like crystals, was monoclinic with space group $P 2_{1} / n$ and $a=13.605, b=12.756, c=9.687 \AA, \beta=$ $92.258^{\circ}$ and $V=1685 \AA^{3}$.

## Crystal data

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)_{2}\left[\mathrm{Ge}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{18^{-}}\right. & \begin{array}{l}
D_{x}=2.961 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{~m}^{-3} \\
\left.(\mathrm{OH})_{4}\right]
\end{array} \\
M_{r}=1193.68 & \text { Mo K radiation } \\
\text { Monoclinic, } P 2_{1} / n & \text { Cell parameters from } 5000 \\
a=10.1958(15) \AA & \text { reflections } \\
b=9.9753(10) \AA & \theta=2.86-25.98^{\circ} \\
c=13.1655(19) \AA & \mu=10.059 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1} \\
\beta=90.695(17)^{\circ} \AA & T=293(2) \mathrm{K} \\
V=1338.9(3) \AA^{3} & \text { Prism, colorless } \\
Z=2 & 0.060 \times 0.040 \times 0.038 \mathrm{~mm} \\
&
\end{array}
$$

## Data collection

Stoe IPDS diffractometer
Oscillation scans
Absorption correction: numerical
(XRED; Stoe \& Cie, 1997a)
$T_{\min }=0.577, T_{\max }=0.721$
10190 measured reflections
2598 independent reflections

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.027$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.058$
$S=0.958$
2598 reflections
204 parameters
H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.0315 P)^{2}\right]$
where $P=\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}+2 F_{c}^{2}\right) / 3$
2077 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.058$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=25.98^{\circ}$
$h=-12 \rightarrow 12$
$k=-11 \rightarrow 12$
$l=-16 \rightarrow 16$
Intensity decay: none
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=0.041_{\circ}$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.80 \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{A}^{-3}}$
$\Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.63 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$
Extinction correction: SHELXL97
(Sheldrick, 1997)
Extinction coefficient: 0.00047 (18)

Table 1
Selected bond lengths ( $\AA$ ).

| $\mathrm{Ge} 1-\mathrm{O} 4$ | $1.880(3)$ | $\mathrm{Ge} 3-\mathrm{O} 10$ | $1.810(3)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Ge} 1-\mathrm{O} 2$ | $1.890(3)$ | $\mathrm{Ge} 3-\mathrm{O} 2$ | $2.091(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ge} 1-\mathrm{O} 1$ | $1.914(3)$ | $\mathrm{Ge} 4-\mathrm{O} 1$ | $1.739(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ge} 2-\mathrm{O} 6$ | $1.774(3)$ | $\mathrm{Ge} 4-\mathrm{O}{ }^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $1.744(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ge} 2-\mathrm{O} 11$ | $1.778(3)$ | $\mathrm{Ge} 4-\mathrm{O} 11^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | $1.752(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ge} 2-\mathrm{O} 7$ | $1.790(3)$ | $\mathrm{Ge} 4-\mathrm{O} 8$ | $1.770(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ge} 2-\mathrm{O} 3$ | $1.874(3)$ | $\mathrm{Ge} 5-\mathrm{O} 10^{\mathrm{iii}}$ | $1.726(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ge} 2-\mathrm{O} 2$ | $1.957(3)$ | $\mathrm{Ge} 5-\mathrm{O} 4$ | $1.743(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ge} 3-\mathrm{O} 9$ | $1.770(3)$ | $\mathrm{Ge} 5-\mathrm{O} 5^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | $1.766(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ge} 3-\mathrm{O} 7$ | $1.781(3)$ | $\mathrm{Ge} 5-\mathrm{O} 8$ | $1.769(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ge} 3-\mathrm{O} 5$ | $1.781(3)$ |  |  |
| Symmetry codes: (i) $x-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}-y, z-\frac{1}{2} ;$ (ii) $1-x,-y,-z ;$ (iii) $\frac{1}{2}+x, \frac{1}{2}-y, z-\frac{1}{2}$. |  |  |  |

Table 2
Hydrogen-bonding geometry $\left(\AA,{ }^{\circ}\right)$.

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O6-H1 . ${ }^{\text {O } 4}$ | 0.82 | 1.81 | 2.614 (4) | 169 |
| O9-H2 . O 1 | 0.82 | 1.83 | 2.641 (4) | 170 |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 \mathrm{C} \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\text {i }}$ | 0.89 | 1.87 | 2.750 (5) | 172 |
| N1-H1E..O9 | 0.89 | 2.27 | 2.965 (6) | 135 |
| N1-H1D $\cdots$ O6 | 0.89 | 1.96 | 2.828 (6) | 166 |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 \mathrm{D} \cdots \mathrm{O} 11^{\text {i }}$ | 0.89 | 2.45 | 2.826 (5) | 106 |
| $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{C} \cdots \mathrm{O} 9^{\text {ii }}$ | 0.89 | 2.29 | 2.965 (7) | 132 |
| $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{D} \cdots \mathrm{N} 3$ | 0.89 | 1.80 | 2.687 (9) | 171 |
| $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{E} \cdots \mathrm{O} 7^{\text {iii }}$ | 0.89 | 2.34 | 3.053 (6) | 138 |
| $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{E} \cdots \mathrm{O} 3^{\text {iii }}$ | 0.89 | 2.52 | 3.355 (6) | 156 |
| $\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{C} \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\text {iv }}$ | 0.90 (2) | 2.23 (3) | 3.081 (7) | 158 (5) |
| $\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{D} \cdots \mathrm{O} 5^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | 0.90 (2) | 2.47 (4) | 3.256 (7) | 146 (5) |

Symmetry codes: (i) $\frac{3}{2}-x, \frac{1}{2}+y, \frac{1}{2}-z$; (ii) $\frac{1}{2}+x, \frac{1}{2}-y, z-\frac{1}{2}$; (iii) $x, y, z-1$; (iv)
$x-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}-y, z-\frac{1}{2}$.

All H atoms could be located from the difference Fourier map and the two diprotonated ethylenediamines ( N 1 and N 2 ) could be identified. The positions of all H atoms, except H 3 C and $\mathrm{H} 3 D$ whose positional parameters were refined (see Table 2 for distances) were then geometrically idealized and allowed to ride on their parent atoms $(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}=0.82, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.97$ and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}=0.89 \AA)$. The isotropic displacement parameters of all the H atoms were assigned to be 1.2 times of their parent atoms.

Data collection: EXPOSE (Stoe \& Cie, 1997b); cell refinement: CELL (Stoe \& Cie, 1997b); data reduction: INTEGRATE (Stoe \& Cie, 1997b); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Shel-
drick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: DIAMOND (Bergerhoff, 1996).
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Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: OS1114). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.
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